COURT OF COMMON PLEAS FOR THE STATE OF DELAWARE

KENT COUNTY COURTHOUSE DOVER, DELAWARE 19901 PHONE: (302) 739-4618

CHARLES W. WELCH, III
JUDGE

February 15, 2011

Mr. Joshua W. Hughes 203 Madison Avenue Harrington, DE 19952 Stephen P. Doughty, Esq. Lyons, Doughty and Veldhuis, P.A. 15 Ashley Place, Suite 2B Wilmington, DE 19804

RE:

Beneficial Financial I Inc. v. Joshua W. Hughes

C.A.No.: CPU5-10-002241

Decision on Motion to Strike Defendant's First Set of Answers to the Plaintiff's Complaint

Dear Mr. Hughes and Mr. Doughty:

I am in receipt of the Defendant's Motion to Strike Defendant's First Set of Answers to the Plaintiff's Complaint that was filed with the court on January 31, 2011. After a careful consideration of the motion, please be advised that it is denied.

In his motion, the defendant contends that he is not an attorney and, thus, was not aware of the proper format by which to file an Answer to the plaintiff's Complaint when he filed his first Answer. He further contends that he has been unduly prejudiced by his "lack of knowledge concerning the law and the way in which to act upon it" since the plaintiff's attorney has used his improperly formatted Answer to form interrogatories in this case.

A party's status as a self-represented litigant does not excuse a failure to strictly comply with Delaware's law and court rules when pursuing an action. *Nti v. Hall*, 2007 WL 3231601, at \*2 (Del. Com. Pl.) (citing *Laboy v. State*, 846 A.2d 238 (Del. 2003)). The fact that the plaintiff may have filed an Answer to the Complaint that may not be in the proper format pursuant to court rules may not be remedied by a motion to strike (or withdraw) his Answer.

Mr. Joshua W. Hughes Stephen P. Doughty, Esq. February 15, 2011 Page Two

The court also fails to see how the granting of such a motion would prevent the plaintiff from using information on it in its formulation of its interrogatories to the defendant. Discovery is an ordinary occurrence in most cases. Parties are permitted to seek discovery and it is the respondent's obligation to comply with it. It is of no legal consequence that the plaintiff's attorney has allegedly used the defendant's improperly filed Answer in drafting discovery requests

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Sincerely,

Charles W. Welch, III

CWW:mek